organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Jeffrey C. Bryan* and Tatiana G. Levitskaia

Chemical Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6119, USA

Correspondence e-mail: bryanjc@ornl.gov

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 173 KMean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.004 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.058 wR factor = 0.171 Data-to-parameter ratio = 14.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

A tetra-*tert*-butylcalix[4]arene-12-crown-4 *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide inclusion complex

The crown ether portion of the title complex, $C_{50}H_{66}O_6 \cdot C_3H_7NO$, is not pre-organized for metal binding to the four calix[4]arene O atoms. *N*,*N*-Dimethylformamide forms a hydrogen-bond bridge between two calix[4]arene-crown molecules.

Received 29 January 2002 Accepted 31 January 2002 Online 8 February 2002

Comment

We are beginning a new research effort investigating tight ionpair recognition. As a first step, we prepared the title compound (Arduini *et al.*, 1997) in hopes of using its crown ring to partially bind a metal cation and the two remaining phenolic groups as precursors to appendages containing anion-binding groups. The structure of the title compound, (I), was determined to ascertain the degree of pre-organization for cation binding present in this molecule.



Optimal metal binding will occur when the crown ether adopts a conformation with all O-C-C-O torsion angles *anti*, and all C-O-C-C torsion angles *gauche*. Examination of Table 1 shows this is not the case for the structure reported here. The crown ether is collapsed in upon itself, as is commonly observed in the structures of uncomplexed crown ether molecules (Bryan *et al.*, 2001, and references therein). This collapse forms relatively short $C-H \cdots O$ contacts, one of which is listed in Table 2. As evident in the figures, the two crown atoms O2 and O3 are not well oriented for binding a metal cation together with the O atoms directly attached to the calix[4]arene.

C 2002 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved





Figure 2

Space-filling model of the title compound, showing DMF (center) surrounded by the calix[4]arene cavity.

Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound, showing 50% displacement ellipsoids.

The calix[4]arene adopts the cone conformation, as anticipated when two phenolic groups remain unsubstituted (Böhmer, 1995). This conformation facilitates hydrogen bonding between the phenolic H atoms and the calix[4]arene O atoms (Table 2).

The *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) molecule acts as a bridge between two calix–crown molecules. Within the asymmetric unit, C–H···O hydrogen bonds (Table 2) are formed both between the crown ring and the DMF O atom (O7), and between one of the DMF methyl atoms (C53) and a calix phenolic O atom (O5). The DMF molecule is enveloped by a symmetry-equivalent (symmetry code: x, 3/2-y, 1/2+z) calix[4]arene cavity (Fig. 2). The DMF carbonyl C atom (C51) and one of its methyl groups (C52) form numerous C–H··· π interactions with the interior of the calixarene rings (Table 2, where Cg1 represents the centroid for C1–6, Cg2 for C8–13, Cg3 for C15–20, and Cg4 for C22–27).

Experimental

The title compound was prepared as previously described (Arduini *et al.*, 1997) and crystallized from *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide.

Crystal data

| $C_{50}H_{66}O_6 \cdot C_3H_7NO$ | $D_x = 1.1$ |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| $M_r = 836.1$ | Μο Κα |
| Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ | Cell pai |
| a = 12.6115 (13) Å | reflec |
| b = 20.000 (3) Å | $\theta = 10.0$ |
| c = 19.995 (3) Å | $\mu = 0.08$ |
| $\beta = 107.087 \ (9)^{\circ}$ | T = 173 |
| $V = 4820.7 (12) \text{ Å}^3$ | Fragme |
| Z = 4 | 0.47×0 |
| | |

 $D_x = 1.15 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 10.0-14.4^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 173 KFragment, colorless $0.47 \times 0.41 \times 0.34 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

| Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 | $\theta_{\rm r}$ |
|--|------------------|
| diffractometer | h |
| ω scans | k |
| Absorption correction: none | <i>l</i> = |
| 10485 measured reflections | 3 |
| 8451 independent reflections | |
| 5151 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ | |
| $R_{\rm int} = 0.022$ | |
| | |

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[(F^2 > 2\sigma (F^2)] = 0.058$ $wR(F^2) = 0.171$ S = 1.028451 reflections 570 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

$\begin{array}{l} \theta_{\text{max}} = 25.0^{\circ} \\ h = -14 \rightarrow 0 \\ k = -23 \rightarrow 13 \\ l = -22 \rightarrow 23 \\ 3 \text{ standard reflections} \\ \text{frequency: 120 min} \\ \text{intensity decay: 5\%} \end{array}$

$$\begin{split} w &= 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0742P)^2 \\ &+ 3.2092P] \\ \text{where } P &= (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} &= 0.00 \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{max}} &= 0.66 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{min}} &= -0.38 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \end{split}$$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

| O5-H5 | 0.82 (3) | N-C52 | 1.429 (5) |
|----------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| O6-H6 | 0.84 (3) | N-C53 | 1.454 (5) |
| O7-C51 | 1.235 (6) | N-C51 | 1.315 (5) |
| C16-O5-H5 | 109 (2) | C51-N-C53 | 124.6 (4) |
| С23-О6-Н6 | 112 (2) | C51-N-C52 | 119.6 (4) |
| C52-N-C53 | 115.8 (3) | O7-C51-N | 125.3 (5) |
| C31-O2-C30-C29 | 71.8 (4) | C53-N-C51-O7 | 0.0 (8) |
| C30-O2-C31-C32 | 56.6 (4) | O1-C29-C30-O2 | -175.7(3) |
| C33-O3-C32-C31 | 154.7 (3) | O2-C31-C32-O3 | -85.4(3) |
| C32-O3-C33-C34 | -172.9(3) | O3-C33-C34-O4 | 69.8 (3) |
| C52-N-C51-O7 | 176.6 (5) | | |

| Table 2 | |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Hydrogen-bonding geometry (| Å, °). |

| $D - H \cdots A$ | D-H | $H \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$ |
|--------------------------|----------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| O5−H5···O6 | 0.82 (3) | 1.88 (4) | 2.694 (3) | 175 (4) |
| O6−H6···O1 | 0.84 (3) | 1.85 (3) | 2.682 (3) | 172 (4) |
| C30-H30A···O3 | 0.99 | 2.39 | 3.011 (4) | 120 |
| C32-H32A···O7 | 0.99 | 2.35 | 3.324 (6) | 168 |
| C53−H53C···O5 | 0.98 | 2.71 | 3.220 (4) | 113 |
| $C51-H51\cdots Cg3^{i}$ | 0.95 | 3.04 | 3.961 (6) | 165 |
| $C52-H52A\cdots Cg3^{i}$ | 0.98 | 3.15 | 4.106 (4) | 165 |
| $C52-H52B\cdots Cg1^{i}$ | 0.98 | 2.95 | 3.590 (4) | 124 |
| $C52-H52B\cdots Cg4^{i}$ | 0.98 | 2.97 | 3.592 (4) | 122 |
| $C52-H52C\cdots Cg2^{i}$ | 0.98 | 2.93 | 3.583 (4) | 125 |

Symmetry code: (i) $x, \frac{3}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$.

The hydroxyl H atoms were located in a difference map, and their coordinates refined, although their O–H bond lengths were restrained to be equal. All other H atoms were placed in calculated positions, and refined using a riding model. All H atoms were given an isotropic displacement parameter equal to 1.2 (CH and CH₂) or 1.5 (OH and CH₃) times the equivalent isotropic displacement parameter of the atom to which they were attached. The C–H distances used depended on the type of C atom: $C_{methylen}$ –H = 0.99, and C_{sp^2} –H = 0.95 Å. The largest peak of residual electron density in the final difference map is 0.66 e Å⁻³, and is located roughly 1.3 Å from N, C51 and C52. This peak, along with some unusual variations in the displacement parameters in the the

DMF molecule, suggest there is some unresolved disorder in this molecule. The next highest peak is $0.39 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$, and is located near C49.

Data collection: *CAD-4/PC* (Nonius, 1996); cell refinement: *CAD-4/PC*; data reduction: *XCAD4* (Harms, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*86 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-*3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON* (Spek, 2001).

This research was sponsored by the Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, US Department of Energy, under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725 with Oak Ridge National Laboratory, managed and operated by UT–Battelle, LLC.

References

- Arduini, A., Domiano, L., Pochini, A., Secchi, A., Ungaro, R., Ugozzoli, F., Struck, O., Verboom, W. & Reinhoudt, D. N. (1997). *Tetrahedron*, 53, 3767– 3776.
- Böhmer, V. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 713-745.
- Bryan, J. C., Marchand, A. P. & Hazlewood, A. (2001). Acta Cryst. E57, 013– 015.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Harms, K. (1995). XCAD4. Universität Marburg, Germany.
- Nonius (1996). CAD-4/PC. Version 2.0. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467–473. Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2001). *PLATON*. University of Utrecht, The Netherlands.